Whitted Ray-Tracing for Dynamic Scenes using a Ray-Space Hierarchy on the GPU

David Roger, Ulf Assarsson, Nicolas Holzschuch

Grenoble University
Chalmers University of Technology
Ray Tracing

Camera

Screen

3D Scene
Ray Tracing
Whitted Ray Tracing

[Whitted80] An Improved Illumination Model for Shaded Display
Whitted Ray Tracing

[Whitted80] An Improved Illumination Model for Shaded Display
Whitted Ray Tracing
Interactive Rendering

• Ray tracing
  – Primary + specular + shadow rays
  – Scene Hierarchy
    • Not well suited for dynamic scenes
    • Log in #Triangles
  – Fast
Interactive Rendering

- **Rasterization**
  - Primary rays only + tricks (shadows ...)
  - Dynamic scenes
  - Linear in #Triangles
  - Very fast

- Splinter Cell: Conviction
- Assassin's Creed
Side By Side

• **Rasterization**
  - Primary rays only + tricks (shadows ...)
  - Dynamic scenes
  - Linear in #Triangles
  - Very fast

• **Ray tracing**
  - Primary + specular + shadow rays
  - Scene Hierarchy
    - Not well suited for dynamic scenes
    - Log in #Triangles
  - Fast
Side By Side
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  – Dynamic scenes
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  – Very fast

• Ray tracing
  – Primary + specular + shadow rays
  – Scene Hierarchy
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    • Log in #Triangles
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Take the best of both!
Primary: rasterization
Others: ray tracing
Our Approach

- Rasterization
  - Primary rays only + tricks (shadows ...)
  - Dynamic scenes
  - Linear in #Triangles
  - Very fast

- Our ray tracing
  - Primary + specular + shadow rays
  - Scene Ray Hierarchy
    - Not well suited for dynamic scenes
    - Log Linear in #Triangles
  - Fast

Take the best of both!
Primary: rasterization
Others: ray tracing
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Previous Works: CPU Ray Tracing

• Static scenes, primary rays
  – [RSH05] Reshetov et al., Multi Level Ray Tracing

• Dynamic scenes, primary rays
  – [WBS07] Wald et al., Dynamic Bounding Volume Hierarchy
  – [WIK*06] Wald et al., Coherent Grid
  – [LYTM06] Lauterbach et al., Bounding Volume Hierarchy

• Dynamic scenes, secondary rays
  – [WK06] Wächter and Keller, Bounding Interval Hierarchy
Previous Works: GPU Ray Tracing

• Static scenes, kd-tree
  – [FS05] Foley and Sugerman
  – [HSHH07] Horn et al.

• Static scenes, Bounding Volume Hierarchy
  – [TS05] Thrane and Simonsen

• Dynamic scenes, primary rays
  – [CHCH06] Carr et al., Geometry Images
Previous Works: Ray Hierarchy

- CPU, not interactive
  - [Ama84, HH84, AK87, GH98]

- GPU
  - [Szé06] Szécsi
    - hierarchy with 2 levels
    - refraction only
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Main Features

- Rasterization for primary rays
- Ray tracing for secondary rays
- Ray hierarchy: rebuilt at each frame
- Runs completely on GPU
Algorithm Overview
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2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
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Primary Rays
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Corresponding pixels

Stored in 2 textures (position + direction)
Same size as the screen
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Hierarchy Node
Hierarchy Construction

- Bottom-up
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- Bottom-up
Hierarchy Construction

- Bottom-up
Hierarchy Construction

Secondary rays: leaves

Corresponding pixels
Hierarchy Construction

Level 1

Corresponding pixels

Similar to mimap generation
Hierarchy Construction

Root

Corresponding pixels

Similar to mimap generation
Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)

2. Build the ray hierarchy
   - 1 Million pixels: 10 levels
   - Similar to mip-map generation
   - Very fast: 1M pixels on GPU < 2ms

3. Intersect scene and hierarchy

4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading

5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
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Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
   - Process triangles in parallel
   - Same execution path length, minimal branching
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
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Triangle-Node Intersection

- Cone-triangle test is expensive
- Use the bounding sphere of the triangle
  - Approximation, but faster overall
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, RootID)

- Top-down

- All triangles processed in parallel

- (Triangle, Cone) pairs
  - Triangle has to be tested against Cone's children
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, RootID)

• 4 intersection tests
  – 1 rendering pass
  – 4 render targets

• If intersection
  – Then (TriID, ChildID)
  – Else NULL

First hierarchy level
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, RootID) → Stream Reduction → (TriID, ChildID)
Stream Reduction

- Contribution of our work
- Faster
- Other applications
- See paper for details
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, RootID)

Stream Reduction

(TriID, ChildID)
GPU Traversal Implementation

Use as input for the next level

Stream Reduction
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, NodeID)

Next hierarchy level
GPU Traversal Implementation

Stream Reduction
GPU Traversal Implementation

Loop until leaves are reached

Stream Reduction
Memory Considerations

Stream Reduction

Possible overflow
Memory Considerations

• **Simple workaround:**
  – Subdivide scene in batches
  – Process the batches one after the other
  – Combine the results

• **Constant overhead per batch** ($\approx 30\text{ms}$)

• **Allows large scene rendering too!**
  – Even if it does not fit into GPU memory
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Hardware

• GPU: GeForce 8800 GTS
  – 640 Mo RAM

• CPU: Intel Pentium 4
  – 3 GHz
  – 2 Go RAM
Time Repartition

- Ray-triangle intersections: 15%
- Cone-sphere intersections: 15%
- Stream reduction: 60%
- Hierarchy construction: 9%
- Others: 1%

Total: 100%
Results

79 ms
20 K Triangles
512 x 512
Results

Large spatial hierarchy

136 ms for 1 reflection
391 ms for 2 reflections
30 K Triangles
512 x 512
Results

Several reflectors:
Discontinuities in the top levels of the hierarchy

302 ms
83 K Triangles
512 x 512
Video: Dynamic Scene
#Triangles

![Image of Stanford Bunny and Sphere models with a graph showing time in milliseconds vs. number of triangles.]
# Triangles

![3D model of Stanford Bunny](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#Triangles</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 k</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 k</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Statue**
- **Stanford Bunny**
- **Sphere**
#Pixels
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10 k Triangles

Time (ms)
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128²  512²  1024²

#Pixels

BART Museum
#Pixels

Kitchen
83 k Triangles

![Image of a kitchen scene with a graph showing time (ms) vs. #Pixels. The graph plots three lines: Kitchen, BART Museum, and Museum. The x-axis represents #Pixels (128^2, 512^2, 1024^2), and the y-axis represents Time (ms) (250, 500, 750).]
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Large Scene

50 batches

18.5 s
2.3 M Triangles
512 x 512
Comparison with Previous Works

- Very few papers report figures for both:
  - Dynamic scenes
  - Secondary rays

- We compared to papers that do at least one

- Hard to compare
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- **100 k**
Comparison with Previous Works

[Łoś06] Łos - 2 levels ray hierarchy - GPU (GeForce 6800 GT)

- Time (ms)
- 512 x 512
- [Szé06] Szécsi
  - Statue
  - Stanford Bunny
  - Sphere
- #Triangles
- 100 k
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Comparison with Previous Works

[CHCH06] Carr et al.
- Dynamic scene
- Primary rays
- Geometry images
- GPU (GeForce 6800 Ultra)
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Triangles

100 k
400 k
600 k

Statue
Stanford Bunny
Sphere

[CHCH06]
Comparison with Previous Works

- [LYTM06] Lauterbach et al.
  - Dynamic scene
  - 1 Reflection
  - Bounding Volume Hierarchy
  - CPU
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[LYTM06] Earth
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[B] Lauterbach et al.
- Dynamic scene
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- Bounding Volume Hierarchy
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Comparison with Previous Works

[WBS07] Wald et al.
- Dynamic scene
- Primary rays
- Bounding Volume Hierarchy
- CPU
Comparison with Previous Works

[HSHH07] Horn et al.
- Static scene
- 1 Reflection
- Kd tree
- GPU (ATI X1900 XTX)
Comparison with Previous Works

- [WK06] Wächter and Keller, Bounding Interval Hierarchy, CPU
  - Dynamic scene + secondary rays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Us (ms)</th>
<th>[WK06] (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Museum3 10 k Tris</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>1282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museum8 76 k Tris</td>
<td>3330</td>
<td>2040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reflection + shadow
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Pros and Cons

• **Pros**
  - Dynamic scenes
  - No precomputation
  - Scales well with resolution
  - Large scenes support

• **Cons**
  - No early ray termination
  - Linear in #Triangles
  - Ray hierarchy looser than scene hierarchy (ray coherency)
Contributions

• Interactive ray tracing algorithm
  – Secondary rays
  – Dynamic scenes
  – No precomputation
  – Scales well with resolution
  – Large scenes support

• Faster stream reduction
Future Works

• Cone tracing:
  – anti aliasing
  – soft shadows
  – glossy reflections

• Scene Structure
  – Regular grid, bounding volumes
  – More complex structure ? Hierarchy ?
Thank You
Whitted Ray-Tracing for Dynamic Scenes using a Ray-Space Hierarchy on the GPU

David Roger, Ulf Assarsson, Nicolas Holzschuch

Grenoble University
Chalmers University of Technology
Ray tracing is a rendering technique
Ray Tracing

Consisting in shooting one or several rays through each pixel of the screen and intersecting them with the scene
Turner Whitted designed a shading model relying on raytracing. It renders specular effects (reflections and refractions) by shooting additional rays.
As well as shadows by shooting rays from the objects toward the light source. All those rays originating from the objects are called secondary rays.
This is an example of Whitted ray tracing with reflections, refractions and shadows.
Nowadays, ray tracing can definitely be used for interactive rendering.

It leads to high quality pictures, because it is able to render specular effects like refraction, or reflection as you can see on the left.

Most interactive ray tracers use structures on the scene to speed up their computations, particularly hierarchies.

They manage to get logarithmic complexity, but they have troubles with dynamic scenes, because those structures have to be updated or recomputed as the scene changes.
The most commonly used technique for interactive rendering is rasterization, for example in current games, as you can see on the right.

It is designed for primary rays, although some tricks or approximations can be used for other effects like shadows.

It is able to render very detailed and dynamic scenes extremely fast, and that is why it is so popular.
Let's put the two techniques side by side.
As rasterization is faster, it seems to be a good idea to use it when possible (that is for primary rays) and use ray tracing for the other rays.

But doing this would also suffer from the drawbacks of the two methods.

That is why we propose a different approach for ray tracing.
We focus on the secondary rays only.

And we replace the scene hierarchy by a ray hierarchy.

Thus, dynamic scenes are not anymore a problem for the algorithm.

We lose the logarithmic complexity, and have only a linear complexity. But rasterization is also linear anyways ...
This is the structure of my talk

- Previous Works
- Algorithm Overview
- Details and Implementation
- Results
- Conclusion
And I'll start with the previous works
Here are the recent previous work in interactive raytracing on CPU.

They all rely on a structure on the scene (hierarchy or grid).

For dynamic scenes, bounding volumes hierarchies are the most popular.
Previous Works: GPU Ray Tracing

• Static scenes, kd-tree
  – [FS05] Foley and Sugerman
  – [HSHH07] Horn et al.

• Static scenes, Bounding Volume Hierarchy
  – [TS05] Thrane and Simonsen

• Dynamic scenes, primary rays
  – [CHCH06] Carr et al., Geometry Images

On GPU,

the papers focus mostly on static scenes and rely on a scene structure: kd-tree or bounding volume hierarchy
On the other hand ray hierarchies are less popular.

Several papers use them on CPU, but do not aim at interactive rendering

Szecsi is the most closely related work.
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Main Features

- Rasterization for primary rays
- Ray tracing for secondary rays
- Ray hierarchy: rebuilt at each frame
- Runs completely on GPU
Here are the main steps of our algorithm.

 Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
In one rasterization pass, we render the scene and spawn the secondary rays, which will be the leaves of our hierarchy.
Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays

Completely rebuilt at each frame. This step is very fast.
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Main Step.
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1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
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And rebuild a new hierarchy.
Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
Let's consider the four rays at the bottom left corner of the picture.
They hit the scene.
And spawn secondary rays, reflection here for example.
Secondary Rays
Secondary Rays

Leaves of the hierarchy

Corresponding pixels

Stored in 2 textures (position + direction)
Same size as the screen
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Then we build the ray hierarchy from the leaves
All nodes of our hierarchy are cones capped by spheres defined by their center, direction, radius and spread angle.

They can be stored as 8 floating point values.

Leaves (rays) are seen as cones with null radius and angle.

We have chosen this shape because:
- fast construction
- fast intersection
But pretty much any other shape would work.
The hierarchy is constructed bottom up, from the leaves to the root.

The leaves are the secondary rays, and are seen as cones with null angle and radius.
To compute the parent node, we compute a cone that encloses all the rays.

We try do find the smallest one, but we use an approximation to speed up the computation.
Hierarchy Construction

- Bottom-up

The same process is applied at each level to build the full hierarchy.
As I said, the secondary rays are stored in a texture.

We choose to build our hierarchy as a pyramid of 2x2 squares because it is very fast to implement on GPU.
The process is similar to mip map generation.

Each new level of the hierarchy can be stored in a texture of half resolution, and easily computed in one rendering pass using a fragment shader.
Hierarchy Construction

Root

Corresponding pixels

Similar to mimap generation
1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)

2. Build the ray hierarchy
   - 1 Million pixels: 10 levels
   - Similar to mip-map generation
   - Very fast: 1M pixels on GPU < 2ms

3. Intersect scene and hierarchy

4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading

5. Go back to 2 for additional rays

Rebuilt entirely at each frame
Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays
Hierarchy is traversed top down, from the root to the leaves.

Here is a triangle of the scene, on the right of the screen.

The triangle intersects the root.
Hierarchy Traversal

Then it is tested against the 4 children.
2 cones intersect the triangle (in yellow), the other 2 are discarded.
We repeat this process until we reach the leaves, which are also the secondary rays.

All triangles can be processed in parallel because each one is independant from the others.

They also undergo the same number of intersection tests.
Algorithm Overview

1. Render primary rays using rasterization & spawn secondary rays (leaves)
2. Build the ray hierarchy
3. Intersect scene and hierarchy
   - Process triangles in parallel
   - Same execution path length, minimal branching
4. Ray-triangle intersections and shading
5. Go back to 2 for additional rays

This step is thus very well suited for GPU implementation
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Now that I have described the structure of the algorithm,
I will go into some details and implementation issues
I begin with the Triangle-Node intersection Test.

Actually, cone triangle intersection is expensive ...
Triangle–Node Intersection

- Cone-triangle test is expensive
- Use the bounding sphere of the triangle
  - Approximation, but faster overall
Cone–triangle test is expensive
Use the bounding sphere of the triangle
  – Approximation, but faster overall

The intersection of a capped-cone and a sphere is a 3D problem but reduces to a very simple 2D problem:

The intersection of a 2D cone and a larger circle
Now, I will present you another implementation detail: the GPU traversal of the hierarchy.

As I said, the triangles are processed independently, and we use the GPU parallelism here.

All triangles are stored in a texture and then they will go down the hierarchy, by one level at each rendering pass.

The triangles are paired with the node they intersect. To initialize our algorithm, they are all paired with the root, as you can see on the left.
In a fragment shader with 4 render targets, we perform the intersection of the triangle with the 4 children.

Each render target correspond to the intersection with one child.

NULL correspond to a black texel on my figure.
We use a stream reduction pass to merge these four textures into one, removing all the empty texels.

Removing the empty texels is essential: without it, the complexity would be exponential, as the number of pairs would be multiplied by four at each level of the hierarchy.

Stream reduction is not trivial and requires several passes in itself.
Stream Reduction

- Contribution of our work
- Faster
- Other applications
- See paper for details

The stream reduction method we developed:
is a contribution of our work
is faster than previously known techniques
has other application beside this particular ray tracing algorithm
GPU Traversal Implementation

(TriID, RootID)  Stream Reduction  (TriID, ChildID)
The resulting texture is used as input for the next level of the hierarchy.
The next hierarchy level is processed in a new pass.

A triangle can now appear several times, paired with different nodes.
GPU Traversal Implementation
Loop until leaves are reached and we have (Triangle, Ray) pairs.
On GPU, all pairs have to fit in one texture of fixed size.

As you can see on the right, memory overflow can happen.

In this case we have designed a workaround.
Memory Considerations

• Simple workaround:
  – Subdivide scene in batches
  – Process the batches one after the other
  – Combine the results

• Constant overhead per batch (≈ 30ms)

• Allows large scene rendering too!
  – Even if it does not fit into GPU memory
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Lets move to the results part now.
Hardware

- **GPU**: GeForce 8800 GTS
  - 640 Mo RAM

- **CPU**: Intel Pentium 4
  - 3 GHz
  - 2 Go RAM

All the result in this talk were computed using ...
Time Repartition

- Ray-triangle intersections: 15%
- Cone-sphere intersections: 15%
- Stream reduction: 9%
- Hierarchy construction: 15%
- Others: 1%
- Total: 60%
Results

79 ms
20 K Triangles
512 x 512
On this picture, the reflector covers the whole scene.

Thus, the higher level of the hierarchy have a very large spatial extent and are less efficient.

Lower levels remain efficient though.
Results

Several reflectors: Discontinuities in the top levels of the hierarchy

302 ms
83 K Triangles
512 x 512
Results

Video: Dynamic Scene
We put a reflecting sphere in the patio scene, and increased the number of triangles by adding furnitures like chairs, curtains or plants.

Here is the rendering time for 1 frame.

300 ms for more than 700 K triangles
I put the number of batches we used. Here, for more than 400k triangles, we had to split the scene in two batches to avoid memory overflow.

The slope is greater, because rays have less coherency.

Less than 1s for more than 700 k triangles.
Model with a lot of discontinuities (hair, face, folds of the dress).

Around 4s, and we had to use up to 7 batches.

Our algorithm performs linearly with the number of polygons.

The slope is determined by the size and the shape of the specular objects.
We render the same scene with different picture resolution.
June 25, 2007

Eurographics Symposium on Rendering

#Pixels

Kitchen
83 k Triangles

Time (ms)

Kitchen
BART Museum

#Pixels

128² 512² 1024²
You can see that the algorithm performs sub linearly with the number of pixels.

The time is sublinear, but unfortunately the memory footprint is linear!

Thus we were not able to render larger picture than 1M pixels, because our GPU had not enough memory.
Large Scene

50 batches

18.5 s
2.3 M Triangles
512 x 512
Comparison with Previous Works

- Very few papers report figures for both:
  - Dynamic scenes
  - Secondary rays

- We compared to papers that do at least one

- Hard to compare
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- Statue
- Stanford Bunny
- Sphere

Time (ms)

100 k 400 k 600 k

100 500 1000

1000 2000 3000

512 x 512
Comparison with Previous Works

- [Szé06] Szécsi
  - 2 levels ray hierarchy
  - GPU (GeForce 6800 GT)

![Graph showing Time (ms) vs. #Triangles for different models: Statue, Stanford Bunny, Sphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#Triangles</th>
<th>Time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 k</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 k</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 k</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

512 x 512
Comparison with Previous Works

[CHCH06] Carr et al.
- Dynamic scene
- Primary rays
- Geometry images
- GPU (GeForce 6800 Ultra)
Lauterbach and others do both dynamic scene and secondary rays using a bounding volume hierarchy on the CPU.

On their scene, they are faster than our statue scene, but slower than our bunny scene.

We also provide figures for much larger scenes.
Comparison with Previous Works

Time (ms)

Statue

Stanford Bunny

Sphere

1024 x 1024

100 k

400 k

600 k

#Triangles

100

1000

2000

1000

100
Comparison with Previous Works

[WBS07] Wald et al.
- Dynamic scene
- Primary rays
- Bounding Volume Hierarchy
- CPU
Comparison with Previous Works

[HSHH07] Horn et al.
- Static scene
- 1 Reflection
- Kd tree
- GPU (ATI X1900 XTX)
This the museum scene from the BART benchmark.

It is designed to break hierarchies and is difficult to render fast.

High occlusion kills us when there are too many triangles in the soup.
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Pros and Cons

• Pros
  – Dynamic scenes
  – No precomputation
  – Scales well with resolution
  – Large scenes support

• Cons
  – No early ray termination
  – Linear in #Triangles
  – Ray hierarchy looser than scene hierarchy (ray coherency)
Contributions

• Interactive ray tracing algorithm
  – Secondary rays
  – Dynamic scenes
  – No precomputation
  – Scales well with resolution
  – Large scenes support

• Faster stream reduction

A faster stream reduction method that has applications outside of our ray tracing algorithm.
Future Works

• Cone tracing:
  – anti aliasing
  – soft shadows
  – glossy reflections

• Scene Structure
  – Regular grid, bounding volumes
  – More complex structure? Hierarchy?
Thank You