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## Topic of the day

- The shading is the aspect of objects
- what influences this aspect
- how to model it?
- how to compute it fastly?
- How this is (was) done on computers?
- choosed shading model and implications
- texture mapping
- What is the new trend?
- programmable hardware
- cool new effects


## Global illumination

- Shading of a point accounts for light interaction between objects in the scene
- nice \& realistic
$\odot$ shadows
$\odot$ inter and intra reflections
- color bleeding
- complex and costly
- Typical use with ray-tracing
- photon mapping
- radiosity



## Local illumination

- Shading of a point depends only of light, observer's position and object material properties
- lacks most visual effects
- simpler and faster to evaluate
- Can be done with 3D APIs and hardware
- Good tricks to "emulate" missing effects

Focus of this talk

## Local illumination models

- How incoming light is reflected?
- BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function)
- Complex models
- Cook-Torrance, Torrance-sparrow...
- Ward
- Kubelka-Munk, Hanrahan-Krueger, Jensen - Adds subsurface scatering


## - Simple models

## Cook-Torrance

- Accounts for surface roughness
- physically based
. surface is a distribution of micro-facets
- Product of 3 terms
- Fresnel coefficient F

$\theta$ : incident angle
$\Phi=\operatorname{asin}(\theta / \mathrm{n})$
n : refraction indice
- Angular distribution D
probability of orientation for a facet
. Masking \& self-shadowing G



## Simple shading models

- Materials/lights described by 3 components
- an ambiant color
- a diffuse color
- a specular color
- Basic light sources


Light intensity can be independent or dependent of the distance between object and the light source`

## Ambiant term (1/2)

- A light ambiant color $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$
- represents light "in the scene" i.e. the "ambiance" $\odot$ light coming from sky dome
- © of sun light which is directional (cast shadows)
$\odot$ light reflected by the scene onto itself
- cheap emulation of global illumination
- A material ambiant coefficient $K_{a}$
- represents the absorption of the ambiant lighting
ambient term

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

- Approximation by gaussians
- Anisotropic model


## Ambient term (2/2)

- Very poor but useful
- No physical interpretation
- No cue of shape of objects
- looks the same seen from anywhere no matter light position



## Diffuse term (1/2)

- Lambertian material
- light reflected equally in every direction
- reflected light depends of
$\odot$ material absorption $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and light color $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ๑ local surface orientation



## Diffuse term (2/2)

- Shading varies along surface
- gives cue of object's shape

- A point looks the same wherever you are



## Specular term (2/3)

- The real life: glossy objects
- light is reflected
- "around" the reflected vector
$\odot$ with exponential decay n (shininess)
$\odot$ material absorption $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ light color $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}$



## Phong \& Blinn-phong models (1/2)

- The formula for specular is the Phong model
- not physically correct
[1975]
- looks nice in practice and very simple to evaluate
- Blinn proposed a simplification
- use angle with half-vector
- also standard in Computer Graphics


Phong


Blidan atplanng $_{2}$

## Specular term (1/3)

- The ideal case: mirrors
- Snell's law (loi de Descartes) light is reflected with an outgoing angle equals to incoming angle
- problem : the reflection of a point light is visible at only one point on the surface


Specular term (3/3)


## Phong \& Blinn-phong models (2/2)

- Difference is a matter of taste!
- Blinn-phong tends to be more predictable

Phong model

specular
specular+diffuse


Blinn-Phong model


## Adding all terms

- We get the color of a pixel as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{I}=\sum_{\text {lights }}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}} \cos \theta+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}(\underset{\text { or }}{\text { or }} \text { or })^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{~N})^{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}
$$

- Model used by 3D APIs (OpenGL,DirectX)
- Hardware support


## OpenGL shading (1/2)

- How pixels are produced?
- CPU : API calls to - specify light attributes
- specify vertices \& attributes
- 3D position
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { - normal } \\ -\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Ks}, \mathrm{n}\end{array}\right\}$ per vertex or per face


## OpenGL shading (1/2)

- How pixels are produced?
- CPU : API calls to
- specify light attributes
$\odot$ specify vertices \& attributes
- 3D position
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { - normal } \\ -K_{a}, K_{d}, \mathrm{Ks}, \mathrm{n}\end{array}\right\}$ per vertex or per face
- GPU : dedicated hardware to $\odot$ project vertices according to camera
$\odot$ rasterize interior pixels and compute color
$\odot$ blend fragment with pixel
- possibility for multi-pass (accumulation buffer)


## OpenGL shading (2/2)

- How pixels are shaded?
- Flat shading
- Apply Phong model to get a color per face
- Gouraud shading
- Apply Phong model at vertices to get color
- Interpolate color across pixels
- Phong shading
- Interpolate model parameters
- normal
- light vector
$\odot$ Apply Phong model at each pixel


## Flat vs. Gouraud shading

- Flat shading creates "facetted" objects
- requires highly tesselated surfaces

- Flat shading creates Mach bands
 intensity's changes


## Gouraud vs. Phong shading

- Means per-pixel vs. per vertex shading
- Per pixel is much nicer
- And more "correct"



## Normal transformation

- A plane is characterized by
- What is the characterization of the image of the plane by an affine transformation $M$ ?
- We search $n$ ' such that
- A solution is
- Normals transformed by inverse transpose


## Tricks for complex effects

- We have seen ambient term
- Atmospheric Effects (fog)
- blend with background color
- based on
- distance to eye

๑ chosen attenuation model

- Texture mapping


## Gouraud vs. Phong shading

- Phong shading is slower
- there are usually more pixels than vertices!
- Phong shading is nicer
- renders highlights inside faces
- but is not yet exact
$\odot$ light vector interpolation is only approximate

- technical details
$\odot$ interpolated vectors must be renormalized $\odot$ transforming normals is tricky



## Texture mapping

- Ability to look up a value for each pixel
- ambient/diffuse color
- normal (bump mapping)
- more to come...
- Lookups specified with texture coordinates
- specified for each vertex glTexCoord\{123\}\{fi\}
- interpolated for each fragment
- perspective correct interpolation

Perspective correct interpolation


## Programmable hardware

- What we've just seen is outdated!
- It was "ol’ times" way of doing
$\odot$ everything hardwired
- fixed pipeline
- Nowadays, cards are programmable!



## Texture mapping and aliasing

- Undersampling
- take nearest or interpolate neighbours
- Supersampling
- ideal solution
$\odot$ integrate over samples $\rightarrow$ expensive
- practical solution
$\odot$ prefilter textures $\rightarrow$ mipmaps
$\odot$ interpolate between levels (and neighbours)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-aliasing


The need for programmability
EG 2034 $\qquad$

The need for programmability

- Nowadays...
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## The need for programmability

- Available power raises expectations
- complex geometry and appearance
- movie like quality in real-time
- Hardware is actually programmable
- to some extents (rapidly changing)
- just need to expose it
- Realistic shading is complex
- creation must be human-friendly
- shading must be modular = reusable


## What language?

- Low level
- like assembly but a bit simpler
- historic approach
- standardized as OpenGL extensions
- High level
- C/C++ like syntax
- well known for movies
- today's trend for real-time
- different languages
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Low vs. high level (2/2)

- High level
- easy to program

High-Level Language

- easy to reuse


 Cs * especular:
- easy to reuse
compiled
$\odot$ harware independent
$\odot$ harder to understand bottlenecks


## Compilation strategies

- External compiler to low level (HLSL,Cg)
- offline or on the fly
- "by hand" optimization of compiled code
- profiles
- In-driver compilation (GLSL)
- trust the driver!

Shading languages

## 3D Application

Direct3D OpenGL | HLSL | Gg | GLSL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## GPU

## Language features (1/3)

- Control flow statements
- if, for, while,break, continue
- pas de goto
- User defined functions
- good for modularity and reusability
- Built-in functions
- math :abs, pow, sqrt, step, smoothstep...
- geometry :dot, cross, normalize, reflect, refract...
- texture lookups
- fragment functions


## Language features (1/3)

- Support for vector and matrices
- Component-wise + - / for vectors
- Dot product

O dot(v1,v2); // returns a scalar

- Matrix multiplications:
- assuming a float $4 \times 4 \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathbf{a}$ float 4 v


## Language features (3/3)

- New operators
- Swizzle operator extracts elements from vector or matrix $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b} . \mathrm{xxy}$;
- Examples:
float4 vect $=$ float $4(4.0,-2.0,5.0,3.0)$;
float2 vec2 $=$ vec1. $\mathrm{yx} ; \quad / /$ vec2 $=(-2,0,4.0)$
float scalar $=$ vecl.$w ; \quad / /$ scalar $=3.0$
float3 vec3 $=$ scalar. $\mathbf{x x x} ; ~ / /$ vec3 $=(3.0,3.0,3.0)$
float $4 \times 4$ myMatrix;
// Set myFloatScalar to myMatrix[3][2]
float myFloatScalar $=$ myMatrix. m32;
- Vector constructor builds vector
$a=f 1$ oat4 (1.0, $0.0,0.0,1.0)$;
nVIDIA.


## Limited programmability

- Limited number of instructions
- Limited number of variables
- Some restrictions on loops/branching
- cost of else/then branches
- no dependent loops
changes quickly
no longer true for cutting edge cards
- Some specific limitations
- no texture lookup in vertex programs
- no dependent texture lookup
- some undocumented : driver bug or limitation ?!?


## Overview of using shaders

- Use API calls to
- specify vertex \& fragment shaders
- enable vertex \& fragment shaders
- pass "global" parameters to shaders
- Draw geometry as usual
- vertex shader will execute for each vertex
- fragment shader will execute for each fragment

```
varying vec3 normal; 
void main() {
    normal = gl_NormalMatrix * gl_Normal;
    gl_Position = ftransform();
```

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { varying vec3 normal; } \\ \text { uniform vec3 } \mathrm{t} \text {; }\end{array}$ | file toon.frag |
| :--- | :--- |

void main() $\{$
vec4 color;
vec3 $\mathrm{n}=$ normalize(normal);
float $i=\operatorname{dot}\left(v e c 3\left(g l \_\right.\right.$LightSource [0].position), $n$ );
if (i>treshold[0]) color $=\operatorname{vec} 4(1.0,0.5,0.5,1.0)$;
else if ( $i>$ threshold[1]) color $=\operatorname{vec} 4(0.6,0.3,0.3,1.0)$;
else if (i>threshold[2]) color $=\operatorname{vec} 4(0.4,0.2,0.2,1.0)$;
else color $=\operatorname{vec} 4(0.2,0.1,0.1,1.0)$;
gl_FragColor = color;

GLSL: setting up shaders
GLhandleARB $\mathrm{v}=$ glCreateShaderobjectARB (GL_VERTEX_SHADER_ARB); GLhandleARB $f=$ glCreateShaderObjectARB (GL_FRAGMENT_SHADER_ARB); char* vs = vs = textFileRead("toon.vert");
Char* vs = vs = textFileRead("toon.ve
char* fs $=$ textFileRead("toon.frag");
const char* $\mathrm{vv}=\mathrm{vs}$;
const char* $f f=f s$
glShaderSourceARB (v, 1, \&vv, NULL)
glShaderSourceARB (f, 1, \&ff,NULL)
free(vs);
free(fs);
glCompileShaderARB (v)
glCompileShaderARB(f);
GLhandleARB $p=$ glCreateProgramobjectARB();
g1AttachobjectARB ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{v}$ ) ;
glAttachobjectARB ( $p, f$ );
glLinkProgramARB(p);
glUseProgramObjectARB (p);

## GLSL : using shaders

```
// once for all (in QGLViewer::init())
GLint thresholdParam = glGetUniformLocationARB(p,"threshold");
// at every frame (in QGLViewer::draw())
glUseProgramObjectARB(p);
glUniform3fARB(thresholdParam,0.95f,0.5f,0.25f);
glPolygonMode (GL_FRONT_AND_BACK,GL_LINE);
glBegin(GL_TRIANGLES);
// draw teapot
glNormal3fv(...);glVertex3v(...);
glEnd();
glUseProgramObjectARB(0);
```


## On parameters passing

- From CPU to shaders
- per vertex attributes
- use standard OpenGL attributes
$\odot$ forget about them as position/normal/colors/texcoords just think of them as general attributes
- uniform parameters
$\odot$ need a handle on them
$\odot$ specified per primitive i.e glBegin()/glEnd()
- textures
- think of them as general lookup tables
- From vertex shader to fragment shader
- varying parameters gets interpolated


## References

- More about GLSL
- Official site
http://developer.3dlabs.com/openGL2/index.htm
- Tutorial
http://www.lighthouse3d.com/openg1/g1s1/index.php?intro
- Complete reference
http://developer.3dlabs.com/openGL2/index.htm
- More about Cg
http://developer.nvidia.com/page/cg_main.html


## GPU is horse power

- SIMD processor
- Parallel execution
- multiple vertex/pixels units
- Hardwired instructions
- trigonometric, vector manipulation...
- Very fast
- performances increase everyday


## Many applications

- In graphics
- many beautiful shaders
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Many applications

- In graphics
- many beautiful shaders
- see GPU Gems I \& II
- ray tracing on GPU!

- In other domains
- general purpose GPU based computations
- linear algebra
- scientific simulation
- ...
- questionable?


## What's next?

- Make it faster and faster
- and even faster!
- Loosen current limitations
- not always possible
- Open other parts of the pipeline
- programmable interpolation?
- programmable z-test?
- Add new components on chip
- new buffers?
- new hardwired functionalities?


## Deferred Shading

- A pixel shader may be very complex
- It is evaluated at each fragment
- Fragment may then fail z-test $\rightarrow$ waste
- shaders compute color/depth
- fragment is tested after fragment shader
- Use G-buffer instead
- render shading attributes (not too much)
- evaluate shading in a last pass


## Conclusion

- visit http://developer.nvidia.com

